arXiv:1206.4483v1 [math.DG] 20 Jun 2012

On the Stability of the CMC Clifford Tori as Constrained Willmore Surfaces

Ernst Kuwert and Johannes Lorenz *

March 8, 2013

Abstract

The tori $T_r = r\,\mathbb{S}^1 \times s\,\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{S}^3$, where $r^2 + s^2 = 1$, are constrained Willmore surfaces, i.e. critical points of the Willmore functional among tori of the same conformal type. We compute which of the T_r are stable critical points.

Introduction

For an immersed closed surface $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{S}^3$ the Willmore functional is

$$\mathcal{W}(f) = \int_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{4}|\vec{H}|^2 + 1\right) d\mu_g,$$

where $\vec{H}$ is the mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{S}^3$ and g is the induced metric. Critical points are called Willmore surfaces. They are characterized by the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\vec{W}(f) = \Delta^\perp \vec{H} + g^{ij} g^{kl} A^\circ_{ik} \langle A^\circ_{jl}, \vec{H}\rangle = 0.$$

Here $\Delta^\perp$ denotes the Laplacian in the normal bundle along f, and A° is the tracefree component of the vector-valued second fundamental form A. By definition, $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{S}^3$ is a (conformally) constrained Willmore surface if it is a critical point of $\mathcal{W}$ with respect to variations in the class of surfaces having the same conformal type. In other words, if $\pi : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ denotes the projection from Riemannian metrics onto Teichmüller space, then the point $\pi(f^* g_{\mathbb{S}^3})$ is prescribed [Tro92, FT84, KS10]. The resulting Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$\vec{W}(f) = g(A^\circ, q) \quad \text{for some } q \in S_2^{TT}(g). \tag{1}$$

In the case of a torus, the space $S_2^{TT}(g)$ of symmetric, covariant 2-tensors q with $\operatorname{div}_g q = 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}_g(q) = 0$ (transverse traceless) is two-dimensional. For immersions at which the projection onto the Teichmüller space has full rank, the tensor $q \in S_2^{TT}(g)$ in equation (1) is obtained from the Lagrange multiplier rule. A loss of rank occurs precisely when there is a nonzero $q \in S_2^{TT}(g)$ such that

---

*The authors acknowledge support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via SFB/Transregio 71 *Geometric Partial Differential Equations*.

$g(A^\circ, q) \equiv 0$, that is the surface is isothermic [BPP08,KS10]. For example, rotationally symmetric surfaces and also constant mean curvature (CMC) surfaces are isothermic. Recently, Schätzle established the Euler-Lagrange equation (1) also in that degenerate case [Sch12]. Reversely, a solution to (1) is always a constrained Willmore surface.

In this paper we study the CMC Clifford tori $T_r = r\,\mathbb{S}^1 \times s\,\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{S}^3$, assuming always $r^2 + s^2 = 1$, which are isometrically parametrized by

$$f_r : \Sigma_r = \mathbb{R}^2/2\pi r\mathbb{Z} \times 2\pi s\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{S}^3,\ f_r(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} r\,e^{iu/r} \\ s\,e^{iv/s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The f_r are isothermic, in fact we have $g(A^\circ, q_1) \equiv 0$ for $q_1 = du \otimes dv + dv \otimes du$. Moreover, they are constrained Willmore surfaces since

$$\vec{W}(f) = \frac{r^2 - s^2}{2r^2 s^2} g(A^\circ, q_2) \quad \text{where } q_2 = dv \otimes dv - du \otimes du. \tag{2}$$

The following answers the question for which parameters $r \in (0,1)$ the T_r are stable critical points of the Willmore functional in the class of surfaces having the same conformal type.

Theorem 1. *The tori $T_r = r\,\mathbb{S}^1 \times s\,\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{S}^3$, $r^2 + s^2 = 1$, are stable constrained Willmore surfaces if and only if*

$$r \in \Big[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\Big] \quad \textit{or equivalently} \quad b \in \Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \sqrt{3}\Big].$$

Here $a + ib \in \mathbb{H}$ are standard coordinates on the Teichmüller space of the torus.

The stability for $\frac{1}{2} \le r \le \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ is proved under the weaker condition that only the b-coordinate in Teichmüller space is prescribed. This is in line with a recent result of Ndiaye and Schätzle [NS11]. For r sufficiently close to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, they prove that the T_r actually minimize within the class of surfaces having the same coordinate b in Teichmüller space. For the unstable case, we show that the tori for $r < \frac{1}{2}$ or $r > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ are unstable already in the class of rotationally symmetric surfaces. For $\frac{1}{k+2} \le r < \frac{1}{k+1}$ the stability operator has exactly k negative eigenvalues for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Bifurcations of the tori T_r as CMC surfaces are studied in [AP11]. In [KSS10] equivariant CMC tori are computed using spectral curve methods.

1 Definitions

Here we collect the basic definitions regarding stability. We denote by $\mathrm{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^3)$ the space of immersions of a closed surface Σ into the 3-sphere. In applications of the implicit function theorem and also in Teichmüller theorem one has to specify an appropriate degree of smoothness for the surfaces, however this is omitted for the sake of presentation. We assume that the functionals are twice continuously differentiable.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathrm{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^3) \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $f_0 \in \mathrm{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^3)$ be given, and put $z_0 = \mathcal{G}(f_0)$. We say that f_0 is a critical point for the Willmore functional under the constraint $\mathcal{G}$, if and only if

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W}(f(\cdot,t))\Big|_{t=0} = 0 \qquad \text{for all admissible variations } f(\cdot,t),$$

that is $f(\cdot,0) = f_0$ and $\mathcal{G}(f(\cdot,t)) = z_0$ for all t.

If $\vec{W}(f_0)$ is L^2-orthogonal to the kernel of $D\mathcal{G}(f_0)$ with respect to the metric induced by f_0, then f_0 is a critical point under the constraint $\mathcal{G}$. The reverse implication follows from the implicit function theorem if the differential $D\mathcal{G}(f_0)$ is surjective.

Definition 2 (Constrained Willmore)**.** An immersed surface $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{S}^3$ is called constrained Willmore if it is a critical point of $\mathcal{W}$ under the constraint given by projecting onto the Teichmüller space.

We recall that the Teichmüller space is a finite-dimensional manifold, so that we have a $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued constraint by chosing a chart. It is easy to see that the space $Q = \{g(A^\circ, q) : q \in S_2^{TT}(g)\}$ is the L^2-orthogonal complement of the kernel of the linearized projection at f_0. In particular, the equation $\vec{W}(f) = g(A^\circ, q)$ for some $q \in S_2^{TT}(g)$ implies that f is constrained Willmore. The reverse is clear if f is not isothermic. However the reverse also holds in the degenerate case when f is isothermic, as proved in [KS10, Sch12].

Definition 3 (Stability)**.** Let $f_0 : \Sigma \to \mathbb{S}^3$ be critical for the Willmore functional under the constraint $\mathcal{G} : \mathrm{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^3) \to \mathbb{R}^k$. Then f_0 is called stable (under the constraint $\mathcal{G}$) if and only if

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{W}(f_t)\Big|_{t=0} \geq 0$$

for all admissible variations (see Definition 1).

In the nondegenerate case when $\mathcal{G}$ has full rank at f_0 we can give an infinitesimal characterization of stability.

Lemma 1. *Let f_0 be critical for the Willmore functional under the constraint $\mathcal{G} : \mathrm{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^3) \to \mathbb{R}^k$, and suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ has full rank at f_0, i.e.* $\operatorname{rank} D\mathcal{G}(f_0) = k$*. Then for any admissible variation $f(\cdot,t)$ with $f(\cdot,0) = f_0$ and $\mathcal{G}(f(\cdot,t)) = z_0$ for all t we have the formula*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{W}(f_t)|_{t=0} = D^2\mathcal{W}(f_0)(\phi,\phi) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i D^2\mathcal{G}_i(f_0)(\phi,\phi), \tag{3}$$

where $\phi = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\cdot,0)$. Here the $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are Lagrange multipliers given by

$$D\mathcal{W}(f_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i D\mathcal{G}_i(f_0). \tag{4}$$

In particular, f_0 is stable under the constraint $\mathcal{G}$ if and only if the quadratic form on the right of (3) is positive semidefinite.

Proof. Using the covariant derivative in $\mathbb{S}^3$, we can write

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{W}(f_t)|_{t=0} = D^2\mathcal{W}(f_0)(\phi,\phi) + D\mathcal{W}(f_0)(\psi), \qquad \text{where } \psi = \frac{D}{\partial t}\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\cdot,0).$$

By assumption, there exist vectorfields ϕ_i along f_0 with

$$D\mathcal{G}(f_0)\phi_i = e_i \qquad \text{for } i = 1,\dots,k.$$

Since f_0 is critical under the constraint $\mathcal{G}$, there are $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$D\mathcal{W}(f_0)\phi = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i D\mathcal{G}_i(f_0)\phi \qquad \text{for all } \phi.$$

Applying to ϕ_j for $j = 1,\dots,k$ and using that $D\mathcal{G}_i(f_0)\phi_j = \delta_{ij}$, we see that

$$\lambda_i = D\mathcal{W}(f_0)\phi_i.$$

With that we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathcal{W}(f_0)(\psi) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i D\mathcal{G}_i(f_0)\psi \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \Big(\underbrace{\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{G}_i(f_t)\Big|_{t=0}}_{=0} - D^2\mathcal{G}_i(\phi,\phi)\Big) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i D^2\mathcal{G}_i(\phi,\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in completes the proof. ☐

2 Stability of the tori T_r

For $r^2 + s^2 = 1$, let $\Sigma_r = \mathbb{R}^2/(2\pi r\mathbb{Z} \times 2\pi s\mathbb{Z})$ and consider the embedded tori

$$f_r : \Sigma_r \to \mathbb{S}^3,\ f_r(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} r\, e^{iu/r} \\ s\, e^{iv/s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will calculate the basic geometric data for the f_r. We have

$$\partial_1 f_r = \begin{pmatrix} ie^{iu/r} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \partial_2 f_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ ie^{iv/s} \end{pmatrix},$$

and in particular

$$g_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ij}.$$

The unit normal along f_r in $\mathbb{S}^3$ is given by

$$\vec{n} = \begin{pmatrix} se^{iu/r} \\ -re^{iv/s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the second fundamental form we get

$$A_{11} = -\frac{s}{r}\vec{n},\ A_{22} = \frac{r}{s}\vec{n},\ A_{12} = A_{21} = 0. \tag{5}$$

The mean curvature vector is given by

$$\vec{H} = A_{11} + A_{22} = \frac{r^2 - s^2}{rs}\vec{n}, \tag{6}$$

and the tracefree part of the second fundamental form is

$$A^\circ_{11} = -\frac{1}{2rs}\vec{n} = -A^\circ_{22},\ A^\circ_{12} = A^\circ_{21} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Using $\nabla \vec{n} = 0$ and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, we further compute

$$\vec{W}(f_r) = \frac{r^2 - s^2}{2r^3 s^3}\vec{n}. \tag{8}$$

Now define the tensors $q_i \in S_2^{TT}(g)$, $i = 1, 2$, by

$$q_1 = du \otimes dv + dv \otimes du, \quad q_2 = dv \otimes dv - du \otimes du.$$

As $g(A^\circ, q_1) \equiv 0$ we see that f_r isothermic. Moreover f_r is constrained Willmore, namely we easily compute

$$\vec{W}(f_r) = \frac{r^2 - s^2}{2r^2 s^2} g(A^\circ, q_2).$$

To fix a parametrization of $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_r)$, we consider the linear maps

$$L_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi r} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\pi s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

These induce an isomorphism between Σ_r and $T_{a,b} = \mathbb{R}^2 / \big(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(a,b)\big)$, with

$$(2\pi r)^2 L^*_{a,b}(g_{euc}) = du^2 + 2\,a\,\frac{r}{s} du dv + (a^2 + b^2)\,\frac{r^2}{s^2}\,dv^2 =: g_{a,b}.$$

By Teichmüller theory on the torus, see [Tro92], the map

$$\varphi_r : \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_r),\ \varphi_r(a,b) = \pi_{\Sigma_r}(g_{a,b}),$$

is a diffeomorphism, hence we may equivalently consider the projection

$$\pi = \varphi_r^{-1} \circ \pi_{\Sigma_r} : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_r) \to \mathbb{H},$$

in particular we have

$$\pi(g_{a,b}) = (a,b) \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Note that $g_{a,b} = g_{euc}$ for $a = 0$, $b = s/r$. Taking the derivative yields

$$D\pi(g_{euc}) q^\mu = \frac{s}{r} e_\mu \quad \text{for } \mu = 1, 2.$$

Now introduce the map

$$G : \mathrm{Imm}(\Sigma_r, \mathbb{S}^3) \to \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_r),\ G(f) = f^* g_{\mathbb{S}^3},$$

as well as the compositions

$$\Pi = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \pi \circ G : \mathrm{Imm}(\Sigma_r, \mathbb{S}^3) \to \mathbb{H}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let (M,h) be a Riemannian manifold. For any closed immersed surface $f \in \operatorname{Imm}(\Sigma, M)$ we let $G(f) = f^*(h)$. Then we have the formula*

$$\langle DG(f)\phi, q\rangle_{L^2(g)} = -2\int_\Sigma \big\langle g(A^\circ, q), \phi\big\rangle\, d\mu_g$$

where $g = f^*(h)$ and $q \in S_2^{TT}(g)$.

Proof. Let $f : \Sigma \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$ be a variation with velocity field $\partial_t f = \phi$. Then

$$\big(DG(f)\phi\big)_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h\big(\partial_i f, \partial_j f\big) = h\big(\partial_i f, D_j \phi\big) + h(D_i\phi, \partial_j f).$$

Working in normal coordinates for g at $p \in \Sigma$, $t = 0$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} g(DG(f)\phi, q) &= 2h\big(\partial_i f, D_j\phi\big) q_{ij} \\ &= 2\partial_j\big(g^{ik} h(\partial_i f, \phi) q_{kj}\big) - 2h\big(\underbrace{D_i\partial_j f}_{=A_{ij}}, \phi\big) q_{ij} - 2h\big(\partial_i f, \phi\big)\underbrace{\partial_j q_{ij}}_{=0}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is a divergence which integrates to zero. Using in the second term that q is tracefree, the claim follows. ☐

By Teichmüller theory, we have an L^2-orthogonal decomposition

$$C^\infty(\operatorname{Sym}^2(\Sigma_r)) = \ker D\pi_{\Sigma_r}(g) \oplus S_2^{TT}(\Sigma_r).$$

Furthermore we note that

$$\|q_1\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_r)} = \|q_2\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_r)} = 8\pi^2 rs \quad \text{and} \quad \langle q_1, q_2\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_r)} = 0.$$

Hence we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} D\Pi(f_r)\phi &= D\pi(g_{euc}) DG(f_r)\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2 rs}\sum_{\mu=1}^2 \big\langle DG(f_r)\phi, q_\mu\big\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_r)} D\pi(g_{euc}) q_\mu \\ &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2 r^2}\sum_{\mu=1}^2 \Big(\int_{\Sigma_r} \langle g(A^\circ, q_\mu), \phi\rangle\, d\mu_g\Big) e_\mu \\ &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2 r^3 s}\Big(\int_{\Sigma_r} \langle \phi, \vec{n}\rangle\, d\mu_g\Big) e_2. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we used $A^\circ = \frac{1}{2rs} q_2 \otimes \vec{n}$. We see that $D\mathcal{A}(f_r) = 0$ as expected. Moreover, we have

$$\vec{W}(f_r) = \frac{r^2 - s^2}{2r^3 s^3}\vec{n} \perp_{L^2} \ker D\mathcal{B}(f_r).$$

This means that the CMC Clifford tori f_r are actually critical points of the Willmore functional under the weaker constraint where $\mathcal{B}(f) = s/r$ is prescribed. This suggests to first study the stability of the f_r under the nondegenerate constraint $\mathcal{B}$.

2.1 Simplified Constraint

To use Lemma 1 we need to calculate the second variation both of the Willmore functional and of the constraint $\mathcal{B}$. We begin with the first.

Lemma 3. *Let ϕ be a normal vector field along f_r. Then we have*

$$D^2\mathcal{W}(f_r)(\phi,\phi) = \langle L_r\phi, \phi\rangle_{L^2}$$

with

$$L_r\phi = \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta + \frac{1}{2r^2s^2}\right)\Delta\phi + \frac{1}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11}\phi + \frac{1}{s^2}\nabla^2_{22}\phi + \frac{r^4+s^4}{2r^4s^4}\phi.$$

Proof. Let $f(\cdot,t)$ be a variation with $f(\cdot,0) = f_r$ and $\partial_t f(\cdot,0) = \phi$. Then

$$D^2\mathcal{W}(f_r)(\phi,\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\Sigma_r}\langle \nabla_t\vec{W}(f)\big|_{t=0}, \phi\rangle\, d\mu_g + \int_{\Sigma_r}\langle \vec{W}(f_r), \phi\rangle\, \partial_t d\mu_g\big|_{t=0}\right).$$

We refer to [LMS11], equation (33), for the second variation formula, compare also to [GLW01] and [Lor12]. In the case of constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$, the formula simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_t\vec{W} &= (\Delta + |A^\circ|^2 - |\vec{H}|^2)(\Delta + |A|^2 + 2)\phi \\ &\quad + 2\langle\vec{H}, A_{ij}\rangle\nabla^2_{ij}\phi + 2\langle A_{ik},\phi\rangle A_{ij}\langle A_{kj},\vec{H}\rangle + 2|H|^2\phi.\end{aligned}$$

Here we take into account the area term in our definition of the Willmore functional, which is not included in the definition of the functional in [LMS11], resulting in a slight difference of the two formulae. Plugging in the data of the tori yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla_t\vec{W}(f_r) \\ &= \left(\Delta + \frac{1}{2r^2s^2} - \frac{(r^2-s^2)^2}{r^2s^2}\right)\left(\Delta + \frac{s^2}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{s^2} + 2\right)\phi \\ &\quad + 2\left(\frac{s^2-r^2}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11}\phi + \frac{r^2-s^2}{s^2}\nabla^2_{22}\phi\right) \\ &\quad + 2\left(\frac{s^2}{r^2}\frac{s^2-r^2}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{s^2}\frac{r^2-s^2}{s^2}\right)\phi + 2\Big(\frac{r^2}{s^2} + \frac{s^2}{r^2} - 2\Big)\phi \\ &= \Delta^2\phi + \Big(\frac{1}{2r^2s^2} + 4\Big)\Delta\phi \\ &\quad + \Big(\frac{1}{2r^4} + \frac{1}{2s^4} + \frac{1}{r^2s^2}\Big)\phi - \Big(\frac{r^2}{s^2} + \frac{s^2}{r^2} - 2\Big)\Big(\frac{r^2}{s^2} + \frac{s^2}{r^2} + 2\Big)\phi \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11}\phi + \frac{2}{s^2}\nabla^2_{22}\phi - 4\Delta\phi \\ &\quad + 2\Big(\frac{s^4}{r^4} + \frac{r^4}{s^4}\Big)\phi - 4\,\phi \\ &= \Delta^2\phi + \frac{1}{2r^2s^2}\Delta\phi + \frac{2}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11}\phi + \frac{2}{s^2}\nabla^2_{22}\phi \\ &\quad + \frac{r^4 + s^4 + 2r^2s^2 - (2r^8 + 2s^8 - 4r^4s^4) + 4s^8 + 4r^8 - 8r^4s^4}{2r^4s^4}\phi \\ &= \Delta^2\phi + \frac{1}{2r^2s^2}\Delta\phi + \frac{2}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11}\phi + \frac{2}{s^2}\nabla^2_{22}\phi + \frac{r^4 + s^4 + 2r^2s^2 - 4r^4s^4 + 2r^8 + 2s^8}{2r^4s^4}\phi.\end{aligned}$$

For the second term we get

$$\langle \vec{W}(f_r), \phi\rangle\, \partial_t d\mu_g = -\langle \vec{W}(f_r), \phi\rangle\, \langle \vec{H}, \phi\rangle\, d\mu_g = -\big\langle \langle \vec{W}(f_r), \vec{H}\rangle \phi, \phi\big\rangle\, d\mu_g,$$

where

$$\langle \vec{W}(f_r), \vec{H}\rangle = \frac{(r^2-s^2)^2}{2r^4 s^4}.$$

Using that $r^2+s^2=1$, we compute

$$r^8+s^8 = \big((r^2+s^2)^2-2r^2s^2\big)^2-2r^4s^4 = (1-2r^2s^2)^2-2r^4s^4 = 1-4r^2s^2+2r^4s^4.$$

The claim of the lemma now follows easily by adding the terms. $\square$

Now we turn to computing the second derivative of $\Pi = \pi \circ G$ in the direction of a normal vectorfield ϕ along f_r. We have

$$D^2\Pi(f_r)(\phi,\phi) = D^2\pi(g_{euc})\big(DG(f_r)\phi, DG(f_r)\phi\big) + D\pi(g_{euc})\, D^2G(f_r)(\phi,\phi). \tag{9}$$

We first work out the second term.

Lemma 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle D\pi(g_{euc})D^2G(f_r)(\phi,\phi), e_1\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 r^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} \langle \nabla^2_{12}\phi, \phi\rangle\, d\mu_{g_{euc}},\\
\langle D\pi(g_{euc})D^2G(f_r)(\phi,\phi), e_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} \langle \nabla^2_{11}\phi - \nabla^2_{22}\phi, \phi\rangle\, d\mu_{g_{euc}}\\
&\quad + \frac{r^2-s^2}{4\pi^2 r^4 s^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} |\phi|^2\, d\mu_{g_{euc}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider a variation $f(\cdot,t) : \Sigma_r \to \mathbb{S}^3$, such that $\phi = \partial_t f$ is always normal along f. We have

$$D^2G(f)(\phi,\phi) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}G(f) - DG(f)D_t\phi.$$

By the first variation formulae for g and A we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G(f)_{ij} &= -2\langle A_{ij}, \phi\rangle,\\
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}G(f)_{ij} &= -2\langle D_t A_{ij}, \phi\rangle - 2\langle A_{ij}, D_t\phi\rangle\\
&= -2\langle \nabla^2_{ij}\phi, \phi\rangle + 2g^{kl}\langle A_{ik}, \phi\rangle\langle A_{jl}, \phi\rangle\\
&\quad - 2\langle R^{\mathbb{S}^3}(\phi, \partial_i f)\partial_j f, \phi\rangle - 2\langle A_{ij}, D_t\phi\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

At $t=0$ we decompose $D_t\phi = (D_t\phi)^\perp + Df\cdot\xi$ at $t=0$ and let $\varphi_s : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be the flow of the vector field ξ. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
DG(f)D_t\phi &= DG(f)(D_t\phi)^\perp + DG(f)(Df\cdot\xi)\\
&= -2\langle A, D_t\phi\rangle + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(f\circ\varphi_s)\Big|_{s=0}\\
&= -2\langle A, D_t\phi\rangle + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\varphi_s^* f^* g_{\mathbb{S}^3}\Big|_{s=0}\\
&= -2\langle A, D_t\phi\rangle + \mathcal{L}_\xi(f^* g_{\mathbb{S}^3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the geometric data of $\mathbb{S}^3$ and f_r yields

$$D^2G(f_r)(\phi,\phi) = -2\langle \nabla^2\phi,\phi\rangle + \frac{r^2-s^2}{r^2s^2}|\phi|^2 q_2 + \frac{(r^2-s^2)^2}{r^2s^2}|\phi|^2 g_{euc} - \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{euc}.$$

The two last terms vanish under $D\pi(g_{euc})$. Using that $\|q_\mu\|^2_{L^2} = 8\pi^2 rs$ and $D\pi(g_{euc})q_\mu = \frac{s}{r}e_\mu$, the claim of the lemma follows. ☐

Lemma 5. *On a two-dimensional manifold Σ, consider the operators*

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma)\times S_2(\Sigma) \to C^\infty(\Sigma),\ \Lambda(g,q) = \operatorname{tr}_g q = g^{ij}q_{ij},$$

$$\theta : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma)\times S_2(\Sigma) \to \Omega^1(\Sigma),\ \theta(g,q) = \operatorname{div}_g q = g^{ij}\nabla_i q(\partial_j,\cdot).$$

Then for $h\in S_2(\Sigma)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1\Lambda(g,q)h &= -g^{ij}g^{kl}q_{ik}h_{jl},\\ \big(D_1\theta(g,q)h\big)_m &= -g^{ij}g^{kl}h_{ik}(\nabla_j q)_{lm} - g^{kl}(div_g h)_l q_{km} + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{grad}_g \operatorname{tr}_g h)^k q_{km}\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{kl}(\nabla_m h)_{ik}q_{jl}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $g_t = g + th$. We verify the equations using normal coordinates with respect to g at some point $p\in\Sigma$. First we have

$$D_1\Lambda(g,q)h = \frac{d}{dt}g^{ij}q_{ij}\Big|_{t=0} = -h_{ij}q_{ij}.$$

In local coordinates the divergence is given by

$$(\operatorname{div}_g q)_m = g^{ij}(\nabla_i q)_{jm} = g^{ij}(\partial_i q_{jm} - \Gamma^k_{ij}q_{km} - \Gamma^k_{im}q_{jk}).$$

From the standard formula for the Christoffel symbols we get

$$\partial_t\Gamma^k_{ij}\big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i h_{jk} + \partial_j h_{ik} - \partial_k h_{ij}).$$

We compute for the derivative of the divergence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\operatorname{div}_g q)_m\Big|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(g^{ij}(\partial_i q_{jm} - \Gamma^k_{ij}q_{km} - \Gamma^k_{im}q_{jk})\right)\Big|_{t=0}\\ &= -h_{ij}(\nabla_i q)_{jm} - \frac{1}{2}(2\partial_i h_{ik} - \partial_k h_{ii})q_{km}\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_i h_{mk} + \partial_m h_{ik} - \partial_k h_{im})q_{ik}\\ &= -h_{ij}(\nabla_i q)_{jm} - (\nabla_i h)_{ik}q_{km} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_k(g^{ij}h_{ij})q_{km}\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_m h)_{ik}q_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the second formula. ☐

Lemma 6. *For Riemannian metrics $g\in W^{k,2}(S_2(\Sigma))$ with $k\in\mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, consider the operator*

$$L_g : W^{k,2}(S_2(\Sigma)) \to W^{k,2}(\Sigma)\times W^{k-1,2}(T^*\Sigma),\ L_g\, q = (\operatorname{tr}_g q, \operatorname{div}_g q).$$

Fix $q_0 \in \ker L_{g_0}$. *For* g *close to* g_0, *there is a unique* $q \in \ker L_g$ *such that* $q - q_0 \perp \ker L_{g_0}$. *The function* $q(g)$ *is smooth and* $\eta = Dq(g_0)\alpha \perp \ker L_{g_0}$ *is characterized by the equations*

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}_g \eta &= g^{ij}g^{kl}q_{ik}\alpha_{jl} \\
\big(\operatorname{div}_g \eta^\circ\big)_m &= g^{kl}(\operatorname{div}_g \alpha)_k q_{lm} - \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{grad}_g \operatorname{tr}_g \alpha)^k q_{km} \\
&\quad + g^{ij}g^{kl}\alpha_{ik}(\nabla_j q)_{lm} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{kl}\alpha_{ik}(\nabla_m q)_{jl}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. To each metric g and each q in $W^{k,2}(S_2(\Sigma))$, one associates the form

$$\eta(X,Y) = q(X,Y) - iq(X, J_g Y),$$

where J_g is the almost complex structure. One checks that $\operatorname{tr}_g q = 0$ is equivalent to q being complex bilinear with respect to J_g, and that further $\operatorname{div}_g q = 0$ reduces to the Cauchy-Riemann equation for η. Since (Σ, g) is biholomorphic to a standard torus, one concludes that $S_2^{TT}(g) = \ker L_g$ is two-dimensional and that L_g has closed range.

Let $(\lambda, \xi) \in W^{k,2}(\Sigma) \times W^{k-1,2}(T^*\Sigma)$ be L^2-orthogonal to $\operatorname{im} L_g$, i.e.

$$0 = \int_\Sigma \Big(\langle \lambda g, q\rangle_g + \langle \xi, \operatorname{div}_g q\rangle_g\Big)\, d\mu_g \qquad \text{for all } q \in W^{k,2}(S_2(\Sigma)).$$

This means $L_X g = \lambda g$ weakly, in other words $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}_g X$ and X is a conformal Killing field. As this is again a Cauchy-Riemann equation, we get that $\big(\operatorname{im} L_g\big)^{\perp_{L^2}}$ is also two-dimensional.

Now let $g_0 \in W^{k,2}(S_2(\Sigma))$ be a fixed metric, with L^2 decompositions

$$W^{k,2}(S_2(\Sigma)) = X_0 \oplus \ker L_{g_0} \qquad \text{and} \qquad W^{k,2}(\Sigma) \times W^{k-1,2}(T^*\Sigma) = \operatorname{im} L_{g_0} \oplus Y_0.$$

With respect to these splittings, the operator L_g is given by a matrix

$$L_g = \begin{pmatrix} A_g & B_g \\ C_g & D_g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly A_{g_0} is an isomorphism while B_{g_0}, C_{g_0}, D_{g_0} are zero. Now for $\phi = \varphi \oplus q_0$ the equation $L_g \phi = 0$ becomes

$$A_g \varphi + B_g q_0 = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad C_g \varphi + D_g q_0 = 0.$$

For g sufficiently close to g_0, the operator A_g is invertible. The equations are then equivalent to

$$\varphi = -A_g^{-1} B_g q_0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (D_g - C_g A_g^{-1} B_g) q_0 = 0.$$

As the space of solutions is two-dimensional, the second equation must hold automatically, and the set of solutions is given by $-A_g^{-1} B_g q_0 \oplus q_0$ where $q_0 \in S_2^{TT}(g_0)$. The formula for the derivative follows from the chain rule. $\square$

Let $\alpha,\beta \in S_2(\Sigma_r)$ be symmetric forms satisfying

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g_{euc}} \alpha = \operatorname{tr}_{g_{euc}} \beta = 0 \quad \text{ and } \quad \beta \perp_{g_{euc}} S_2^{TT}(g_{euc}).$$

Put $g(t) = g_{euc} + t\alpha$ and let $q^\mu(t) = q^\mu(g(t)) \in S_2^{TT}(g(t))$ be as in Lemma 6, that is $q^\mu(t) - q^\mu \perp_{g_{euc}} S_2^{TT}(g_{euc})$ and in particular $q^\mu(0) = q^\mu$. We expand

$$\beta = \beta_\mu(t) q^\mu(t) + \beta^\perp(t) \quad \text{ where } \beta^\perp(t) \perp_{g(t)} S_2^{TT}(g(t)).$$

By assumption $\beta_\mu(0) = 0$, and we have

$$D^2\pi(g_{euc})(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{d}{dt} D\pi(g(t)) \cdot \beta \Big|_{t=0} = \beta_\mu'(0)\, D\pi(g_{euc}) \cdot q^\mu.$$

Next, we compute in normal coordinates at $t = 0$ for symmetric α,β

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} g^{ij}(t) g^{kl}(t) \beta^\perp_{ik}(t) q^\mu_{jl}(t) \sqrt{\det\, g(t)}\Big|_{t=0} \\ & = (\beta^\perp)'_{ik} q^\mu_{ik} + \beta^\perp_{ik} (q^\mu)'_{ik} - 2\alpha_{ij} \beta^\perp_{ik} q^\mu_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} \beta^\perp_{ik} q^\mu_{ik} \operatorname{tr}_{g_{euc}} \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Using that α,β are tracefree, we see that

$$\alpha_{i1}\beta_{i1} = \alpha_{i2}\beta_{i2} \quad \text{ and } \quad \alpha_{i1}\beta_{i2} = -\alpha_{i2}\beta_{i1}.$$

As q^μ is also symmetric and tracefree, we obtain $\alpha_{ij}\beta^\perp_{ik} q^\mu_{jk} = 0$. Integrating and using $\langle q^\lambda, q^\mu \rangle_{L^2(g_{euc})} = 8\pi^2 rs\, \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi^2 rs\, \beta'_\mu(0) &= -\langle \big(\beta - \beta_\lambda q^\lambda\big)'(0), q^\mu \rangle_{L^2(g_{euc})} \\ &= -\langle (\beta^\perp)'(0), q^\mu \rangle_{L^2(g_{euc})} \\ &= \langle \beta, (q^\mu)'(0) \rangle_{L^2(g_{euc})}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta = (q^\mu)'(0) \perp_{L^2} S_2^{TT}(g_{euc})$. Then by Lemma 6 we get using $\operatorname{tr}_{g_{euc}} \alpha = 0$

$$(\operatorname{div}_{g_{euc}} \eta^\circ)_l = (\operatorname{div}_{g_{euc}} \alpha)_k q^\mu_{kl}.$$

Let us focus on the case $\mu = 2$, which will be the relevant one. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{div}_{g_{euc}} \eta^\circ)_1 &= -(\operatorname{div}_{g_{euc}} \alpha)_1, \\ (\operatorname{div}_{g_{euc}} \eta^\circ)_2 &= (\operatorname{div}_{g_{euc}} \alpha)_2 \end{aligned}$$

Now for $\alpha = \alpha_1 q^1 + \alpha_2 q^2$ we have

$$(\operatorname{div}_{g_{euc}} \alpha)_1 = \partial_2\alpha_1 - \partial_1\alpha_2, \quad (\operatorname{div}_{g_{euc}} \alpha)_2 = \partial_1\alpha_1 + \partial_2\alpha_2.$$

Putting $\eta^\circ = u_1 q^1 + u_2 q^2$, the equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2 u_1 - \partial_1 u_2 &= \partial_1\alpha_2 - \partial_2\alpha_1 \\ \partial_1 u_1 + \partial_2 u_2 &= \partial_1\alpha_1 + \partial_2\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating and combining the equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u_1 &= (\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2)\alpha_1 + 2\partial^2_{12}\alpha_2 \\ \Delta u_2 &= 2\partial^2_{12}\alpha_1 - (\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2)\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

We specialize to $\alpha = \varphi q^2$, $\beta = \psi q^2$. Then $D^2\pi(g_{euc})(\alpha,\beta) = \beta_2'(0)\frac{s}{r}e_2$, where $8\pi^2 rs\beta_2'(0) = \langle \psi q^2, \eta^\circ\rangle_{L^2(g_{euc})}$. Here $\eta^\circ = u_1 q^1 + u_2 q^2$, and u_2 is determined by the equation

$$\Delta u_2 = -(\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2)\varphi.$$

To determine u_2 we specialize further to functions φ in a Fourier space.

Definition 4. Put $V_k = \{\cos(kx), \sin(kx)\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $V_0 = \{1\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}(\Sigma_r)$ the set of functions $w(x,y) = u(\frac{x}{r})v(\frac{y}{s})$ on Σ_r, where $u \in V_k$, $v \in V_l$. The set

$$\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_r) := \bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{A}_{k,l}(\Sigma_r)$$

is the Fourier basis on the torus Σ_r.

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{kl}$ with $(k,l) \neq (0,0)$ we obtain the solution

$$u_2 = -c_r(k,l)\varphi \quad \text{where } c_r(k,l) = \frac{k^2s^2 - l^2r^2}{k^2s^2 + l^2r^2}. \tag{10}$$

Note that $\langle \eta^\circ, q^2\rangle_{L^2(g_{euc})} = 0$ with this choice of the integration constant. Now

$$8\pi^2 rs\beta_2'(0) = -2c_r(k,l)\int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi\psi \, d\mu_{g_{euc}}.$$

Summarizing we have for $\alpha = \varphi q^2$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{kl}$, and $\beta = \psi q^2$,

$$D^2\pi^2(g_{euc})(\alpha,\beta) = -\frac{c_r(k,l)}{4\pi^2r^2}\Big(\int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi\psi \, d\mu_{g_{euc}}\Big) e_2.$$

Lemma 7. *For normal vector fields $\Phi = \varphi\vec{n}$, $\Psi = \psi\vec{n}$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{k,l}(\Sigma_r)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{m,n}(\Sigma_r)$, we have the formula*

$$D^2\pi^2(g_{euc})(DG(f_r)\Phi, DG(f_r)\Psi) = -\frac{2(r^2 - s^2) + c_r(k,l)}{4\pi^2r^4s^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi\psi \, d\mu_{g_{euc}},$$

where the constants $c_r(k,l)$ are as in (10).

Proof. Let $\alpha = \langle A, \Phi\rangle$, $\beta = \langle A, \Psi\rangle$, and decompose α, β into its trace and trace free components, respectively: $\alpha = \alpha^c + \alpha^\circ$, $\beta = \beta^c + \beta^\circ$. Recall from [KS10, Proposition A.7] that

$$D^2\pi(g)(h, \sigma g + \mathcal{L}_X g) = -D\pi(g)(\sigma h + \mathcal{L}_X h)$$

for $h \in S_2(\Sigma)$, $\sigma \in C^\infty(\Sigma_r)$ and $X \in C^\infty(T\Sigma)$. Writing $\alpha^c = \lambda_\alpha g_{euc}$, $\beta^c = \lambda_\beta g_{euc}$ we thus get

$$D^2\pi^2(g_{euc})(\alpha^c, \beta^c) = -D\pi^2(g_{euc})(\lambda_\alpha\lambda_\beta g_{euc}) = 0.$$

As $A_{12} \equiv 0$ for f_r, we have $\alpha^\circ = f_\alpha q^2$ and $\beta^\circ = f_\beta q^2$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} D^2\pi^2(g_{euc})(\alpha^c, \beta^\circ) &= -D\pi^2(g_{euc})(\lambda_\alpha\beta^\circ) \\ &= -\langle \lambda_\alpha\beta^\circ, q^2\rangle_{L^2(g_{euc})}\frac{D\pi^2(g_{euc})q^2}{\|q^2\|^2_{L^2(g_{euc})}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2r^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} \lambda_\alpha f_\beta \, d\mu_{g_{euc}} \\ &= -\frac{r^2 - s^2}{16\pi^2r^4s^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi\psi \, d\mu_{g_{euc}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that A is given explicitely, which yields

$$\lambda_\alpha = \frac{r^2 - s^2}{2rs}\varphi, \quad f_\beta = \frac{1}{2rs}\psi.$$

Finally, our above computation yields for the tracefree components that

$$D^2\pi^2(g_{euc})(\alpha^\circ, \beta^\circ) = -\frac{c_r(k,l)}{16\pi^2 r^4 s^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi\psi \, d\mu_{g_{euc}}.$$

□

We can finally calculate $D^2\mathcal{B}(f_r)(\Phi,\Phi)$. In the following, we denote by $\mathfrak{X}(f_r)$ the vectorfields along f_r, i.e. the sections of $f_r^* T\mathbb{S}^3$, and by $\mathfrak{X}(f_r)^\perp$ the normal vectorfields along f_r.

Lemma 8. *Let* $L_r^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathfrak{X}(f_r)^\perp \to \mathfrak{X}(f_r)^\perp$ *be given by*

$$L_r^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi_{k,l}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2 r^2}\left(\nabla_{22}^2 - \nabla_{11}^2 + \frac{r^2 - s^2 + c(k,l;r)}{r^2 s^2}\right)\Phi_{k,l}$$

for

$$\Phi_{k,l} = \varphi_{k,l}\vec{n}, \quad \varphi_{k,l} \in \mathcal{A}_{k,l}(\Sigma_r)$$

with

$$c_r(k,l) = \frac{k^2 s^2 - l^2 r^2}{k^2 s^2 + l^2 r^2}.$$

Then for a normal vectorfield $\Phi \in \mathfrak{X}(f_r)^\perp$ *along* f_r *we have*

$$D^2\mathcal{B}(f_r)(\Phi,\Phi) = \langle L_r^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi), \Phi\rangle_{L^2}.$$

Proof. By equation (9) we have

$$D^2\mathcal{B}(f_r)(\Phi,\Phi) = D^2\pi^2(g_{euc})(DG(f_r)\Phi, DG(f_r)\Phi) + D\pi^2(g_{euc})D^2G(f_r)(\Phi,\Phi).$$

These two terms were calculated in Lemma 4 and Lemma 7. Plugging in yields for $\Phi_{k,l} = \varphi_{k,l}\vec{n}$, $\varphi_{k,l} \in \mathcal{A}_{k,l}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^2\mathcal{B}_{f_r}(\Phi_{k,l}, \Phi_{k,l}) \\
= &-\frac{2(r^2 - s^2) + c(k,l;r)}{4\pi^2 r^4 s^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} \langle \Phi_{k,l}, \Phi_{k,l}\rangle \, d\mu_g \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r^2}\int \left\langle \left(\nabla_{11}^2 - \nabla_{22}^2 + \frac{r^2 - s^2}{r^2 s^2}\right)\Phi_{k,l}, \Phi_{k,l}\right\rangle d\mu_g \\
= &-\frac{1}{4\pi^2 r^2}\int_{\Sigma_r} \left\langle \left(\nabla_{22}^2 - \nabla_{11}^2 + \frac{r^2 - s^2 + c(k,l;r)}{r^2 s^2}\right)\Phi_{k,l}, \Phi_{k,l}\right\rangle d\mu_g.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\Psi_{u,v} = \psi_{u,v}\vec{n}$, $\psi_{u,v} \in \mathcal{A}_{u,v}(\Sigma_r)$, we get

$$D^2\mathcal{B}(f_r)(\Phi_{k,l}, \Psi_{u,v}) = 0$$

if $\Psi_{u,v} \neq \Phi_{k,l}$. □

We can now prove our first stabiltiy theorem:

Theorem 2. *The tori* $f_r : \Sigma_r \to \mathbb{S}^3$ *are Willmore stable wrt. the constraint* $\mathcal{B}$ *if and only if*

$$r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right] \quad \textit{or, equivalently,} \quad \mathcal{B}(f_r) \in \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \sqrt{3}\right].$$

More precisely, let $L_r : (\mathfrak{X}(f_r))^\perp \to (\mathfrak{X}(f_r))^\perp$ *be given by*

$$L_r(\Phi_{k,l}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\Delta + \frac{1}{r^2 s^2}\right)\Delta + \frac{1}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11} + \frac{1}{s^2}\nabla^2_{22} + \frac{1 - (r^2 - s^2)c(k,l;r)}{2r^4 s^4}\right)\Phi_{k,l}$$

for

$$\Phi_{k,l} = \varphi_{k,l}\vec{n}, \quad \varphi_{k,l} \in \mathcal{A}_{k,l}(\Sigma_r)$$

with

$$c_r(k,l) = \frac{k^2 s^2 - l^2 r^2}{k^2 s^2 + l^2 r^2}.$$

Then for an admissible variation $h : \Sigma_r \times (-\delta, \delta) \to \mathbb{S}^3$ *of* f_r *with* $\partial_t h\big|_{t=0} = \Phi$ *we have*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{W}(h)\Big|_{t=0} = \langle L_r(\Phi^\perp), \Phi^\perp \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Let $k \geq 1$ *and* $2 \leq l \leq k+1$. *Then* L_r *has exactly* k *negative eigenvalues for*

$$r \in \left[\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{1}{k+1}\right) \quad \textit{resp.} \quad \mathcal{B}(f_r) \in \left(\left((k+1)^2 - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left((k+2)^2 - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right].$$

The eigenspaces are 2*-dimensional and the* $2k$ *corresponding eigenfunctions are given by*

$$\Phi_l(x,y) = \sin\left(\frac{l}{s}y\right)\vec{n}(x,y) \in \mathfrak{X}(f_r)^\perp$$

and

$$\Psi_l(x,y) = \cos\left(\frac{l}{s}y\right)\vec{n}(x,y) \in \mathfrak{X}(f_r)^\perp.$$

Proof. Let $h : \Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta) \to \mathbb{S}^3$ be an admissible variation of f_r with $\partial_t h\big|_{t=0} = \Phi$. By reparametrizing by an innner differomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma_r \times (-\delta, \delta) \to \Sigma_r$ we can achieve that $\tilde{h}(p,t) := h(\varphi(p,t),t)$ is always normal with $\partial_t \tilde{h}\big|_{t=0} = \Phi^\perp$. Note that $\tilde{h}$ is admissable by definition of the Teichmüller space. By Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{W}(h_t)\Big|_{t=0} &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{W}(\tilde{h}_t)\Big|_{t=0} \\ &= D^2\mathcal{W}(f_r)(\Phi^\perp, \Phi^\perp) - \lambda D^2\mathcal{B}(f_r)(\Phi^\perp, \Phi^\perp) = \langle L_r(\Phi^\perp), \Phi^\perp \rangle_{L_2}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$L_r = L^{\mathcal{W}}(f_r) - \lambda L^{\mathcal{B}}(f_r).$$

The Lagrange mulitplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\lambda = D\mathcal{W}(f_r)(\Theta)$ for any vector field $\Theta \in \mathfrak{X}(f_r)$ along f_r with $D\mathcal{B}(f_r)(\Theta) = 1$. For $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ we have $D\mathcal{W}(f_r) \equiv 0$ and hence $\lambda = 0$. For $r \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ we have $0 \neq \vec{W}(f_r) \perp \ker D\mathcal{B}(f_r)$ and we put

$$\Theta = \frac{\vec{W}(f_r)}{D\mathcal{B}_{f_r}(\vec{W}(f_r))}.$$

We get

$$\lambda = D\mathcal{W}(f_r)(\Theta) = \frac{1}{2}\langle \vec{W}(f_r), \Theta\rangle_{L^2} = \frac{\|\vec{W}(f_r)\|^2}{2D\mathcal{B}(f_r)(\vec{W}(f_r))}.$$

One calculates

$$\|\vec{W}(f_r)\|^2 = \int_{\Sigma_r} \frac{(r^2-s^2)^2}{4r^6s^6}\, d\mu_g = \frac{(r^2-s^2)^2}{4r^6s^6}|\Sigma_r|$$

and

$$D\mathcal{B}_{f_r}(\vec{W}(f_r)) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2r^2}\langle -2\langle A, \vec{W}(f_r)\rangle, q^2\rangle = -\frac{r^2-s^2}{8\pi^2r^6s^4}|\Sigma_r|.$$

Thus

$$\lambda = -\frac{\pi^2(r^2-s^2)}{s^2}.$$

Next, we show that for $\Phi_{k,l} = \varphi_{k,l}\,\vec{n}$, $\varphi_{k,l} \in \mathcal{A}_{k,l}(\Sigma_r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_r(\Phi_{k,l}) = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg(\bigg(\Delta + \frac{1}{r^2s^2}\bigg)\Delta + \frac{1}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11} \\ + \frac{1}{1-r^2}\nabla^2_{22} + \frac{1-(r^2-s^2)c(k,l;r)}{2r^4s^4}\Bigg)\Phi_{k,l}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

This follows by plugging in Lemma 3 and Lemma 8:

$$\begin{aligned} &L_r(\Phi_{k,l}) \\ &= \left(L_r^{\mathcal{W}} - \lambda L_r^{\mathcal{B}}\right)(\Phi_{k,l}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\left(\Delta + \frac{1}{2r^2s^2}\right)\Delta + \frac{2}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11} + \frac{2}{s^2}\nabla^2_{22} + \frac{1-2r^2+2r^4}{r^4s^4}\right)\Phi_{k,l}\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi^2(r^2-s^2)}{s^2}\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi^2r^2}\left(\nabla^2_{22} - \nabla^2_{11} + \frac{r^2-s^2+c(k,l;r)}{r^2s^2}\right)\right)\Phi_{k,l} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Bigg(\left(\Delta + \frac{1}{2r^2s^2}\right)\Delta + \frac{3-2r^2}{2r^2s^2}\nabla^2_{11} \\ &\qquad + \frac{1+2r^2}{2r^2s^2}\nabla^2_{22} + \frac{1-(r^2-s^2)c(k,l;r)}{2r^4s^4}\Bigg)\Phi_{k,l} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\Delta + \frac{1}{r^2s^2}\right)\Delta + \frac{1}{r^2}\nabla^2_{11} + \frac{1}{s^2}\nabla^2_{22} + \frac{1-(r^2-s^2)c(k,l;r)}{2r^4s^4}\right)\Phi_{k,l} \end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to the calculation of the eigenvalues of L_r. Plugging in

$$\nabla^2_{11}\Phi_{k,l} = -\frac{k^2}{r^2}\Phi_{k,l}, \quad \nabla^2_{22}\Phi_{k,l} = -\frac{l^2}{s^2}\Phi_{k,l}, \quad \Delta\Phi_{k,l} = -(\frac{k^2}{r^2} + \frac{l^2}{s^2})\Phi_{k,l}$$

and

$$c_r(k,l) = \frac{k^2s^2 - l^2r^2}{k^2s^2 + l^2r^2}$$

into (11) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_r(\Phi_{k,l}) &= \bigg(\frac{(k^2s^2+l^2r^2)^2}{r^4s^4} - \frac{k^2s^2+l^2r^2}{r^4s^4} - \frac{k^2}{r^4} \\
&\qquad - \frac{l^2}{s^4} + \frac{1}{2r^4s^4} - \frac{(r^2-s^2)(k^2s^2-l^2r^2)}{2r^4s^4(k^2s^2+l^2r^2)} \bigg) \Phi_{k,l} \\
&= \frac{N(k,l;r)}{r^4s^4(k^2s^2+l^2r^2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(k,l;r) &= \frac{1}{2}\big[2(k^2s^2+l^2r^2)^2 - 2(k^2s^2+l^2r^2) - 2k^2s^4 \\
&\qquad - 2l^2r^4 + 1\big]\left(k^2s^2+l^2r^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}(r^2-s^2)\left(k^2s^2-l^2r^2\right) \\
&= \big[(k^2s^2+l^2r^2)^2 - (k^2s^2+l^2r^2) - k^2s^4 - l^2r^4\big](k^2s^2+l^2r^2) \\
&\quad + k^2s^4 + l^2r^4 \\
&= \big[(k^4-k^2)s^4 + (l^4-l^2)r^4 + 2k^2l^2r^2s^2 - k^2s^2 - l^2r^2\big]\left(k^2s^2+l^2r^2\right) \\
&\quad + k^2s^4 + l^2r^4 \\
&= \big[(k^4-k^2)s^4 + (l^4-l^2)r^4 + 2k^2l^2r^2s^2\big]\left(k^2s^2+l^2r^2\right) \\
&\quad + (k^2-k^4)s^4 + (l^2-l^4)r^4 - 2l^2k^2r^2s^2 \\
&= \big[(k^4-k^2)s^4 + (l^4-l^2)r^4 + 2k^2l^2r^2s^2\big]\left(k^2s^2+l^2r^2-1\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$L_r(\Phi_{k,l}) = E(k,l;r)\Phi_{k,l}$$

with

$$E(k,l;r) = \frac{N(k,l;r)}{r^4s^4(k^2s^2+l^2r^2)},$$

$$N(k,l;r) = \big[(k^4-k^2)s^4 + (l^4-l^2)r^4 + 2k^2l^2r^2s^2\big]\left(k^2s^2+l^2r^2-1\right).$$

We get $E(1,0;r) = E(0,1;r) = 0$.
Because of

$$(k^4-k^2)s^4 + (l^4-l^2)r^4 + 2k^2l^2r^2s^2 \geq 0$$

and

$$r^4s^4(k^2s^2+l^2r^2) > 0$$

for all $r \in (0,1)$, $(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ the sign of $E(k,l;r)$ is determined by

$$k^2s^2 + l^2r^2 - 1.$$

If $k \neq 0$, $l \neq 0$

$$k^2s^2 + l^2r^2 - 1 \geq (\min\{k,l\})^2 - 1 \geq 0.$$

Hence, let $l = 0$. Then

$$k^2s^2 - 1 \geq 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; r \leq \sqrt{\frac{k^2-1}{k^2}}. \tag{12}$$

For $k = 0$ we have

$$l^2 r^2 - 1 \geq 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; r \geq \frac{1}{l}, \tag{13}$$

thus for $r \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}]$ all eigenvalues are non-negative and for

$$r \in \left[\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right)$$

we have exactly $k-1$ negative eigenvalues. ☐

2.2 Full Constraint

As a direct corollary from Theorem 2 we get

Corollary 1. *The tori $T_r = r\,\mathbb{S}^1 \times s\,\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{S}^3$, $r^2 + s^2 = 1$, are stable constrained Willmore surfaces for $r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right]$.*

Proof. A variation $h : \Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta) \to \mathbb{S}^3$ with $\Pi(h) \equiv \Pi(f_r)$ also satisfies $\mathcal{B}(h) \equiv \mathcal{B}(f_r)$. ☐

The main idea to adress the problem of the full constraint Π is that it is enough to restrict to the class of surfaces of revolution.

Definition 5. Let $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^2/(2\pi\,\mathbb{Z} \times 2\pi\,\mathbb{Z})$ be the square torus. For $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ we call the immersion

$$h_\gamma : \Sigma \to \mathbb{S}^3, \quad h_\gamma(u,v) = \left(\cos\left(\gamma(v)\right) e^{iu}, \sin(\gamma(v)) e^{iv}\right)$$

the corresponding surface of revolution. We denote by

$$\mathcal{C}_{rot} = \{h_\gamma \in \mathrm{Imm}^k(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^3) \mid \gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\}.$$

the class of surfaces of revolution.

Theorem 3. *The tori $T_r = r\,\mathbb{S}^1 \times s\,\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{S}^3$, $r^2 + s^2 = 1$, are stable constrained Willmore surfaces if and only if*

$$r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right] \quad \textit{or equivalently} \quad b \in \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \sqrt{3}\right].$$

Here $a + ib \in \mathbb{H}$ are standard coordinates on the Teichmüller space of the torus.

More precisely, let $k \geq 1$ and $2 \leq l \leq k+1$. For

$$r \in \left[\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{1}{k+1}\right) \quad \textit{resp.} \quad \mathcal{B}(f_r) \in \left(\left((k+1)^2 - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left((k+2)^2 - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

we find for all instable directions $\Phi_l, \Psi_l \in \mathfrak{X}(f_r)$ from Theorem 2 admissible variations $h : \Sigma_r \times (-\delta, \delta) \to \mathbb{S}^3$ of f_r in the class $\mathcal{C}_{rot}$ of surfaces of revolution with $\partial_t h\big|_{t=0} = \Phi_l$ resp. $\partial_t h\big|_{t=0} = \Psi_l$. We have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{W}_\varkappa(h)\Big|_{t=0} = \langle L_r(\Phi_l), \Phi_l \rangle_{L^2},$$

where L_r is defined as in Theorem 2.

Proof. Obviously the tori T_r can be parametrized by $h_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\gamma \equiv \rho := \arccos r$.

Consider any $h_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$. By reparametrization of γ we get a conformal immersion on the rectangle spanned by $(2\pi, 0)$ and $(0, 2\pi\omega)$. Hence $\Pi(h_\gamma) = (0, \omega)$, in particular $\mathcal{A}(h_\gamma) = 0$ for all surfaces of revolution.

Now let $r \in \left[\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{1}{k+1}\right)$ be fixed, $\rho := \arccos(r)$ and $h_\rho : [0, 2\pi]^2 \to \mathbb{S}^3$ the above parametrization of T_r. In the proof of Theorem 2 we have found for $2 \leq l \leq k+1$ the instable directions

$$\Phi_l \in \mathfrak{X}(h_\rho),\ \Phi(u, v) = \sin(lv)\vec{n}(u, v)$$

and

$$\Psi_l \in \mathfrak{X}(h_\rho),\ \Psi(u, v) = \cos(lv)\vec{n}(u, v).$$

The normal of a surface of revolution is given by

$$\vec{n}(u, v) = \left(\sin(\gamma(v))e^{iu}, -\cos(\gamma(v))e^{iv} - i\frac{\gamma'(v)}{sin(\gamma(v))}e^{iv}\right).$$

We vary h_ρ in direction of Φ_l in $\mathcal{C}$ by $f_t = h_{\gamma(t)}$ with

$$\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \times (-\delta, \delta) \to \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right),$$
$$\gamma(u, t) = \rho - t\sin(lv).$$

We have

$$\partial_t f(u, v)\big|_{t=0} = \left(\sin(\rho)\sin(lv)e^{iu}, -\cos(\rho)\sin(lv)e^{iv}\right) = \Phi_l$$

as desired. This variation already satisfies $\mathcal{A}(f(t)) \equiv 0 = \mathcal{A}(h_\rho)$. $\mathcal{B}$ is nondegenerate, hence we can correct this coordinate by using the implicit function theorem. Consider the 2-parameter-family of surfaces of revolution given by

$$\tilde{f} : \Sigma \times (-\delta, \delta)^2 \to \mathbb{S}^3,$$
$$\tilde{f}(s, t) = h_{s+\gamma(t)}.$$

Here $s + \gamma(t) : \mathbb{S}^1 \to (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $(s + \gamma(t))(v) = s + \gamma(t)(v)$ is well-defined for t and s small enough. We calculate

$$\partial_s \tilde{f}\big|_{s=t=0}(u, v) = \left(-\sin(\rho)e^{iu}, \cos(\rho)e^{iv}\right) = -\vec{n} \in \mathfrak{X}(h_\rho).$$

Consider

$$G : (-\delta, \delta)^2 \to \mathbb{R},\ G(s, t) := \mathcal{B}(\tilde{f}(s, t)).$$

Then

$$\partial_s G\big|_{s=t=0} = D\mathcal{B}_{h_\rho}(-\vec{n}) \neq 0.$$

Hence, by the implicit function theorem, there exists a function (after eventually making δ smaller) $\tau : (-\delta, \delta) \to (-\delta, \delta)$ with

$$G(\tau(t), t) \equiv G(0, 0).$$

Thus $\bar{f}(t) := \tilde{f}(\tau(t), t)$ satisfies $\mathcal{B}(\bar{f}) \equiv \mathcal{B}(h_\rho)$ and

$$\Pi(\bar{f}(t)) \equiv \Pi(h_\rho).$$

Moreover,

$$\partial_t \bar{f}\big|_{t=0} = -\tau'(0)\vec{n} + \Phi_l.$$

With $\partial_t \bar{f}\big|_{t=0} \in \ker D\Pi(h_\rho)$ we get $\tau'(0) = 0$, eg.

$$\partial_t \bar{f}|_{t=0} = \Phi_l.$$

In Theorem 2 we calculated

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{W}(\bar{f})\Big|_{t=0} < 0.$$

In the case of the other instable directions $\Psi_l(u,v) = \cos(lv)\vec{n}(u,v)$ we can proceed in exactly the same way. This completes the proof. ☐

References

[AP11] L. J. Alías and P. Piccione. Bifurcation of constant mean curvature tori in Euclidean spheres. *J. Geometric Analysis*, 2011.

[BPP08] C. Bohle, P. Peters, and U. Pinkall. Constrained Willmore surfaces. *Calculus of Variations*, 2008.

[FT84] A. Fischer and A. Tromba. On a purely "Riemannian " Proof of the Structure and Dimension of the Unramified Moduli Space of a Compact Riemann Surface. *Mathematische Annalen*, 1984.

[GLW01] Z. Guo, H. Li, and C. Wang. The second variational formula for Willmore submanifolds in S^n. *Results in Mathematics*, 40, 2001.

[KS10] E. Kuwert and R. Schätzle. Minimizers of the Willmore functional under fixed conformal class. Preprint, 2010. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.6168`.

[KSS10] M. Kilian, M. U. Schmidt, and N. Schmitt. Flows of constant mean curvature tori in the 3-sphere: The equivariant case. Preprint, 2010. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.2875`.

[LMS11] T. Lamm, J. Metzger, and F. Schulze. Foliations of asymptotically flat manifolds by surfaces of Willmore type. *Mathematische Annalen*, 2011.

[Lor12] J. Lorenz. Die Zweite Variation des Willmore-Funktionals und Constrained Willmore-Tori in S^3. Diplom Thesis, Universität Freiburg, 2012.

[NS11] C. B. Ndiaye and R. Schätzle. New explicit examples of constrained Willmore minizers. In *Oberwolfach Report*, number 38, pages 17–19, 2011.

[Sch12] R. Schätzle. Conformally constrained Willmore immersions. Preprint, 2012. `http://sfbtr71.de/`.

[Tro92] A. J. Tromba. *Teichmüller Theory in Riemannian Geometry.* Birkhäuser, 1992.

Ernst Kuwert, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Eckerstraße 1, 79104
Freiburg, Germany
Email: ernst.kuwert@math.uni-freiburg.de

Johannes Lorenz, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Eckerstraße 1,
79104 Freiburg, Germany
Email: johannes.lorenz@math.uni-freiburg.de